Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS)
COMPANY for authority to update its gas revenue)
requirement and base rates)
effective January 1, 2016 (U 904-G))

Application No. 14-11-___ Exhibit No.: (SCG-17-WP)

WORKPAPERS TO PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JILL TRACY ON BEHALF OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NOVEMBER 2014



2016 General Rate Case - APP INDEX OF WORKPAPERS

Exhibit SCG-17-WP - ENVIRONMENTAL

DOCUMENT	PAGE
Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SCG-17-WP	1
Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers	2
Category: A. Environmental Compliance	3
2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL	4
Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)	16
2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 FEES SUBPART W MS4 AND LDAR	17
Summary of Shared Services Workpapers	27
Category: A. Environmental Programs	28
2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS	29
Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management	43
2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR	44
Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers	51

Overall Summary For Exhibit No. SCG-17-WP

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Description
Non-Shared Services
Shared Services
Total

In 2013 \$ (000) Incurred Costs							
Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Recorded Adjusted-Forecast						
2013	2014	2015	2016				
8,305	8,426	8,618	9,638				
2,863	3,054	3,520	3,468				
11,168	11,480	12,138	13,106				

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Summary of Non-Shared Services Workpapers:

Description

A. Environmental Compliance

B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct

(NERBA)

Total

In 2013 \$ (000) Incurred Costs								
Adjusted- Recorded Adjusted-Forecast								
2013	2014	2015	2016					
3,288	3,361	3,468	3,735					
5,017	5,065	5,150	5,903					
8,305	8,426	8,618	9,638					

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance

Workpaper: 2EV000.000

Summary for Category: A. Environmental Compliance

	III 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs						
	Adjusted-Recorded		Adjusted-Forecast				
	2013	2014	2015	2016			
Labor	1,973	2,046	2,153	2,153			
Non-Labor	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,583			
NSE	0	0	0	0			
Total	3,289	3,362	3,469	3,736			
FTE	22.0	23.0	25.0	25.0			
Workpapers belonging	to this Category:						
2EV000.000 ENVIRON	IMENTAL						
Labor	1,973	2,046	2,153	2,153			
Non-Labor	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,583			
NSE	0	0	0	0			
Total	3,289	3,362	3,469	3,736			
FTE	22.0	23.0	25.0	25.0			

In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs

Beginning of Workpaper 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Activity Description:

Environmental Services responds dynamically to several changing factors in the SCG territory which cannot be expected to follow historical trending patterns. Regulatory instructions and requirements from government agencies expand the scope of Environmental attention annually. These Environmental Services cost centers includes subject matter experts in air and water quality, biological resources, cultural resources, land planning, and managing the internal environmental governance of the company. The group supports ongoing environmental compliance, including obtaining environmental permits and approvals, developing environmental plans and conducting specialized environmental training.

Forecast Explanations:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

NSE - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Summary of Results:

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs								
		Adju	ısted-Recor	ded		Ad	justed-Fore	cast	
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
Labor	1,137	1,459	1,318	1,619	1,973	2,046	2,153	2,153	
Non-Labor	521	437	660	1,275	1,316	1,316	1,316	1,583	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	1,658	1,896	1,978	2,894	3,288	3,361	3,468	3,735	
FTE	12.7	16.1	14.3	16.9	22.0	23.0	25.0	25.0	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Forecast Summary:

			In 201	3 \$(000) Ir	ncurred Co	sts				
Forecas	t Method	Bas	se Foreca	st	Forec	ast Adjust	ments	Adjusted-Forecast		
Years	s	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
Labor	Base YR Rec	1,973	1,973	1,973	73	180	180	2,046	2,153	2,153
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	1,316	1,316	1,316	0	0	267	1,316	1,316	1,583
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tota	ıl	3,288	3,288	3,288	73	180	447	3,361	3,468	3,735
FTE	Base YR Rec	22.0	22.0	22.0	1.0	3.0	3.0	23.0	25.0	25.0

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type
2014	73	0	0	73	1.0	1-Sided Adj

CC2200-0733 Justification - Labor adjustment for full-year funding.

2014 Total	73	0	0	73	1.0	
2015	107	0	0	107	2.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2443 Justification - Environmental Sustainability Operational Support: These two (2) FTE positions will support SCG's Green Operations Initiative by developing a centralized environmental data collection system, identifying key performance indicators, setting goals for reducing the company's environmental footprint, analyzing operational processes for efficiencies and cost reduction opportunities, and establishing an annual cycle of monitoring with operations.

2015 73 0 0 73 1.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 Justification - Labor adjustment for full-year funding.

2015 Total	180	0	0	180	3.0
2016	107	0	0	107	2.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2443 Justification - Environmental Sustainability Operational Support: These two (2) FTE positions will support SCG's Green Operations Initiative by developing a centralized environmental data collection system, identifying key performance indicators, setting goals for reducing the company's environmental footprint, analyzing operational processes for efficiencies and cost reduction opportunities, and establishing an annual cycle of monitoring with operations.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE Adj Type
2016	0	267	0	267	0.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 Justification - Pico Rivera & Olympic Treatment Storage & Disposal Facilities (TSDF) Permit Renewals: The existing Pico Rivera and Olympic TSDF RCRA Part B permits are 10-year permits that expire July 30, 2017 and May 4, 2017 (Department of Toxic Substances Control Permit #:07-GLN-08 & 07-GLN-03). The permit application process requires a consultant to support development of the TSDF permit renewal, associated technical documents, agency meetings and inquiries and public outreach. Cost estimates are based on a consultant estimate and Department of Toxic Substances Control permit fee schedule. The permit development process begins in 2016. See Supplemental Workpapers.

2016 73 0 0 73 1.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-0733 - Labor adjustment for full-year funding.

2016 Total 180 267 0 447 3.0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

Determination of Aujusteu-	2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	240	228	906	1,108	1,691
Non-Labor	444	352	634	1,159	1,316
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	684	580	1,540	2,267	3,007
FTE	3.6	3.3	10.7	12.3	18.9
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	644	937	174	255	0
Non-Labor	26	52	0	94	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	670	989	174	349	0
FTE	7.2	10.4	1.5	2.2	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	884	1,165	1,080	1,362	1,691
Non-Labor	470	405	634	1,253	1,316
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,354	1,570	1,714	2,616	3,007
FTE	10.8	13.7	12.2	14.5	18.9
/acation & Sick (Nominal \$)					
Labor	148	193	179	218	281
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	148	193	179	218	281
FTE	1.9	2.4	2.1	2.4	3.2
scalation to 2013\$					
Labor	105	101	59	38	0
Non-Labor	51	33	25	22	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	156	134	85	60	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Constan	nt 2013\$)				
Labor	1,137	1,459	1,318	1,619	1,973
Non-Labor	521	437	660	1,275	1,316
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,658	1,896	1,978	2,894	3,288
FTE	12.7	16.1	14.3	16.9	22.1

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs												
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013							
Labor	644	937	174	255	0							
Non-Labor	26	52	0.268	94	0							
NSE	0	0	0	0	0							
Total	670	989	174	349	0							
FTE	7.2	10.4	1.5	2.2	0.0							

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>			
2009	66	0.661	0	1.0 CC	CTR Transf	From 2100-0206.000	MHARPER20131 113102408737			
In early 2011 SDGE to SCC recorded SDC the SCG activ by the transfe one-third to ea	113102400131									
2009	75	3	0	0.8 CC	CTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 114120458320			
In early 2011 were formally O&M costs fo activities. The transferring ground in the street of the st										
2009	318	10	0	3.2 CC	CTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 118103440120			
In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in peformance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.										
2009	66	11	0	0.8 CC	CTR Transf	From 2200-0433.000	RHFLAMIN20131 127110014037			
Transfer histo	Transfer historical costs related to environmental employees to cost center 2200-2475.									
2009	60	1	0	0.7 CC	CTR Transf	From 2200-0480.000	SVELASQU20131 127111225200			
Transfer historical costs related to environmental employees to cost center 2200-2475.										

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

vorkpaper:	2EV00	UU.UUU - EIN\	/IRONWE	NIAL			
ear/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2009	59	0.973	0	0.7 C	CTR Transf	From 2200-0537.000	SVELASQU20131
Transfer h	nistorical costs	related to en	vironment	tal emplo	yees to cost c	enter 2200-2475.	127113110573
2009 Total	644	26	0	7.2			
010	61	2	0	0.8 C	CTR Transf	From 2100-3282.000	MHARPER20131 113072904640
SDGE to S SDGE O8 activities.	SCG. The FT M costs for lat	E calculation or and the a	is based issociated	on time ii non-labo	n position at S or used in perf	lly transferred from DGE, the recorded ormance of the SCG urs worked by the	
010	13	0.073	0	0.2 C	CTR Transf	From 2100-0206.000	MHARPER20131
SDGE to S recorded S the SCG a by the tran	SCG. The F1 SDGE O&M co activities. The	FE calculation pets for labor non-labor is of employee	n is based and the a calculatedes. The n	on hours ssociated d based u on-labor	s-time in positi I non-labor usu upon the ratio for the three e	transferred from on at SDGE, the ed in performance of of total hours worked employees is spread	113102824720
010	69	0.637	0	1.0 C	CTR Transf	From 2100-0206.000	MHARPER20131
SDGE to s	SCG. The F1 SDGE O&M co	ΓE calculation osts for labor	n is based and the a	on hours	s-time in positi I non-labor us	r transferred from on at SDGE, the ed in performance of of total hours worked	113102927073

In early 2011 three employees in cost center 2100-0206 were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The FTE calculation is based on hours-time in position at SDGE, the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees. The non-labor for the three employees is spread one-third to each employee for each year and cost center.

by the transferring group of employees. The non-labor for the three employees is spread

one-third to each employee for each year and cost center.

1

Note: Totals may include rounding differences.

163

2010

2.3 CCTR Transf

From 2100-0206.000

MHARPER20131 113103314673

Southern California Gas Company 2016 GRC - APP

Non-Shared Service Workpapers

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2010	317	7	0		CCTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 114121001513
were form O&M cost activities.	nally transferred ts for labor and	I from SDGE the associat r is calculate	to SCG. ted non-la	The tabor use	able displays the ed in peformance	nt supporting SCG recorded SDGE e of the SCG ours worked by the	
2010	75	2	0	8.0	CCTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 114121130260
were form O&M cost activities.	nally transferred ts for labor and	I from SDGE the associat r is calculate	to SCG. ted non-la	The tabor use	able displays the ed in peformance	nt supporting SCG recorded SDGE e of the SCG burs worked by the	
2010	74	18	0	0.4	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0433.000	RHFLAMIN20131
Transfer h	nistorical costs	related to en	vironmen	tal emp	oloyees to cost co	enter 2200-2475.	127110243590
2010	63	7	0	0.7	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0480.000	SVELASQU20131 127111538420
Transfer h	nistorical costs	related to en	vironmen	tal emp	ployees to cost co	enter 2200-2475.	127111330420
2010	69	0.839	0	0.7	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0537.000	SVELASQU20131 127113342467
Transfer h	nistorical costs	related to en	vironmen	tal emp	ployees to cost co	enter 2200-2475.	127 113342407
2010	33	12	0	0.4	CCTR Transf	From 2200-2115.000	TPKAJ201311211 32419030
		-			and non-labor e: he historical expe	xpense from Field ense with the	32419030
2010 Total	937	52	0	10.4			
2011	6	0.036	0	0.1	CCTR Transf	From 2100-0206.000	MHARPER20131

In early 2011 three employees in cost center 2100-0206 were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The FTE calculation is based on hours-time in position at SDGE, the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees. The non-labor for the three employees is spread one-third to each employee for each year and cost center.

Note: Totals may include rounding differences.

113103024703

Southern California Gas Company 2016 GRC - APP

Non-Shared Service Workpapers

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID				
2011	22	0.533	0	0.2 C	CTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 114121426423				
were form O&M cos activities.	In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in peformance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees. 2011 5 0.129 0 0.1 CCTR Transf From 2100-3035.000										
2011	5	0.129	0	0.1 C	CTR Transf	From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131 114121542960				
were form O&M cos activities.	In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in peformance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.										
2011	70	18	0	0.3 C	CTR Transf	From 2200-0433.000	RHFLAMIN20131 127110413590				
Transfer I	Transfer historical costs related to environmental employees to cost center 2200-2475.										
2011	64	14	0	0.6 C	CTR Transf	From 2200-0480.000	SVELASQU20131 127111914180				
Transfer I	historical costs	related to en	vironment	tal emplo	yees to cost ce	enter 2200-2475.	127111914100				
2011	54	1	0	0.7 C	CTR Transf	From 2200-0537.000	SVELASQU20131 127113605530				
Transfer I	historical costs	related to en	vironment	tal emplo	yees to cost ce	enter 2200-2475.	127 1 13003330				
2011	-51	-33	0	-0.6 C	CTR Transf	From 2200-2115.000	TPKAJ201311202 10813887				
	Transfer of Field Environmental Representative labor and non-labor expense from Field Services to Environmental Services in order to align the historical expense with the forecast.										
2011	4	0.166	0	0.1 C	CTR Transf	From 2100-3282.000	MHARPER20131 113073320987				
Environm SDGE to SDGE Of activities.	Employee Transfer Adjustment: In early 2011 one employee in cost center 2100-3282 Environmental Strategy and Sustainability supporting SCG was formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The FTE calculation is based on time in position at SDGE, the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring employee.										
2011 Total	174	0.268	0	1.5							

Transfer historical costs related to environmental employees to cost center 2200-2475.

0

24

Note: Totals may include rounding differences.

68

2012

0.4 CCTR Transf

From 2200-0433.000

RHFLAMIN20131 127110515020

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Compliance
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Compliance
Workpaper: 2EV000.000 - ENVIRONMENTAL

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>		
2012	81	19	0	0.5 C	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0480.000	SVELASQU20131 127112246440		
Transfer historical costs related to environmental employees to cost center 2200-2475.									
2012	56	2	0	0.7 C	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0537.000	SVELASQU20131		
Transfer historical costs related to environmental employees to cost center 2200-2475.									
2012	51	48	0	0.6 C	CTR Transf	From 2200-2115.000	TPKAJ201311211		
Transfer of	32802417								

Transfer of Field Environmental Representative labor and non-labor expense from Field Services to Environmental Services in order to align the historical expense with the forecast.

2012 Total 255 94 0 2.2

2013 Total 0 0 0 0.0

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2EV000.000

Southern California Gas Company 2016 GRC - APP

Supplemental Workpaper: Pico Rivera & Olympign Freeting No. Storage & Disposal Facility Permit Renewals [Cost

Center: 2200-0733]

PICO RIVERA & OLYMPIC PERMIT RENEWAL APPLICATION - 2016 FORECAST

	VENDOR/		2016	
	CONTRACTOR	PROJECT	FORECAST	NOTES
1	Consultant Support	Prepare Permit Renewal Applications	\$190,000	Costs are based on estimates from Haley & Aldrich, who is SCG's Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility (TSDF) permitting consultant. Haley & Aldrich has extensive TSDF permitting experience and played a key role in preparing the Pico Rivera and Olympic 2006 permit renewal applications. Project Scope of Work: Haley & Aldrich will provide consultant support and prepare, review and revise all documents that are required elements of the Department of Toxic Substances Control RCRA Part B Permit Renewal Applications for SCG's Pico Rivera and Olympic Hazardous Waste Storage Facilities. Haley & Aldrich will also provide related consultant technical support with Department of Toxic Substances Control (Review & Response) until the Department of Toxic Substances Control permits are issued. Duties include but will not be limited to: Provide regulatory guidance for the content of the permit. Pevelop drawings, diagrams and obtaining photos of equipment and storage areas. Obtaining maps from an outside vendor (Mapping services etc.). Speaking on SCG's behalf with Department of Toxic Substances Control regarding aspects of the permits and our strategies for both facilities. Proof reading the permit contents to ensure it meets Department of Toxic Substances Control regulations. 2016 Timeline: Jan: Start Project Sep: Final Draft Nov: Submit Application to Department of Toxic Substances Control Haley & Aldrich will prepare the Permit Renewal Application as outlined in the Project Scope of Work described above.
		Certificates of Design	\$16,000	2016 1 st Quarter: Complete Haley & Aldrich will provide consultant support to prepare Certification of Design for the Permit Renewal Applications if needed.
2	Department of Toxic Substances Control (Standardized, Series B permit)	Permit Renewal Fees	\$61,056	The Department of Toxic Substances Control fee estimate for the Pico Rivera and Olympic Treatment, Storage & Disposal Facility Permit Renewal Application (RCRA Part B Permits) is based on the "Permitting Activity Fee" information described in the "Department of Toxic Substances Control Fee Summary" effective January 1, 2013. See also Hazardous Waste Fee Health and Safety Code 25205.7.
	Total		\$267,056	

Note: These costs are not a bid. Costs provided are only estimates based on what is currently known and are subject to change.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001

Summary for Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs									
	Adjusted-Recorded		Adjusted-Forecast							
	2013	2014	2015	2016						
Labor	51	69	154	154						
Non-Labor	0	30	30	783						
NSE	4,966	4,966	4,966	4,966						
Total	5,017	5,065	5,150	5,903						
FTE	0.6	0.6	1.6	1.6						

Workpapers belonging to this Category:

2EV000.001 RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Labor	51	69	154	154
Non-Labor	0	30	30	783
NSE	4,966	4,966	4,966	4,966
Total	5,017	5,065	5,150	5,903
FTE	0.6	0.6	1.6	1.6

Beginning of Workpaper
2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Activity Description:

This is a refundable cost category related to gas operations that will be recorded in a regulatory two-way balancing account called the New Environmental Regulatory Balancing Account ("NERBA").

Forecast Explanations:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

NSE - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Summary of Results:

		In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs										
		Adju	sted-Recor	Adjusted-Forecast								
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016				
Labor	0	0	0	65	51	69	154	154				
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0	30	30	783				
NSE	0	5,085	7,046	6,079	4,966	4,966	4,966	4,966				
Total	0	5,085	7,046	6,152	5,017	5,065	5,150	5,903				
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	1.6	1.6				

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Forecast Summary:

	In 2013 \$(000) Incurred Costs											
Forecast Method Base Forecast			Forec	ast Adjust	tments	Adjus	Adjusted-Forecast					
Years	Years 2014 2015		2016	2014	2014 2015 2016		2014	2015	2016			
Labor	Base YR Rec	51	51	51	18	103	103	69	154	154		
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	30	30	783	30	30	783		
NSE	Base YR Rec	4,966	4,966	4,966	0	0	0	4,966	4,966	4,966		
Tota	ı	5,017	5,017	5,017	48	133	886	5,065	5,150	5,903		
FTE	Base YR Rec	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.6	1.6	1.6		

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE	Adj Type
2014	18	0	0	18	0.0	1-Sided Adj

NERBA Subpart W: Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support environmental compliance efforts.

2014 0 30 0 30 0.0 1-Sided Adj

NERBA Subpart W: Consultants to support modifications Monitoring Recordkeeping and Reporting (MRR) Monitoring Plans.

2014 Total	18	30	0	48	0.0				
2015	85	0	0	85	1.0	1-Sided Adj			
NERBA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Methane Emissions Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) Impact Program - Senate Bill 1371 and Assembly Bill 32 LDAR impose new mandatory Greenhouse Gas leak detection and reduction requirement. The estimates are based on twenty percent reduction scenario for leak detection and repair. See supplemental workpapers.									
2015	18	0	0	18	0.0	1-Sided Adj			
NERBA Subpart W: Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support environmental compliance efforts.									
2015	0	30	0	30	0.0	1-Sided Adj			

NERBA Subpart W: Consultants to support modifications Monitoring Recordkeeping and Reporting (MRR) Monitoring Plans.

2015 Total 103 30 0 133 1.0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

2016

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>Total</u>	FTE Ac	l <u>j Type</u>				
2016	85	0	0	85	1.0	1-Sided Adj				
NERBA LDAR Impact Program (Environmental Services): Senate Bill 1371 and Assembly Bill 32 LDAR impose new mandatory Greenhouse Gas leak detection and reduction requirement. The estimates are based on twenty percent reduction scenario for leak detection and repair. See supplemental workpapers										
2016	18	0	0	18	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
NERBA Subpart W: Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support environmental compliance efforts.										
2016	0	540	0	540	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
LDAR impose estimates are	NERBA LDAR Impact Program (Gas Transmission): Senate Bill 1371 and Assembly Bill 32 LDAR impose new mandatory Greenhouse Gas leak detection and reduction requirement. The estimates are based on twenty percent reduction scenario for leak detection and repair. See supplemental workpapers									
2016	0	213	0	213	0.0	1-Sided Adj				
NERBA LDAR Impact Program (Gas Storage): Senate Bill 1371 and Assembly Bill 32 LDAR impose new mandatory Greenhouse Gas leak detection and reduction requirement. The estimates are based on twenty percent reduction scenario for leak detection and repair. See supplemental workpapers.										

NERBA Subpart W: Consultants to support modifications Monitoring Recordkeeping and Reporting (MRR) Monitoring Plans.

0

30

1-Sided Adj

0.0

2016 Total 103 783 0 886 1.0

30

0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

Determination of Aujusteu-	2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Adjustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	0	0	0	54	44
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0
NSE	0	4,742	6,797	5,994	4,966
Total	0	4,742	6,797	6,056	5,010
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
Recorded-Adjusted (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	0	0	0	54	44
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0
NSE	0	4,742	6,797	5,994	4,966
Total	0	4,742	6,797	6,056	5,010
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5
/acation & Sick (Nominal \$)					
Labor	0	0	0	9	7
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	9	7
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Escalation to 2013\$					
Labor	0	0	0	2	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	343	249	85	0
Total	0	343	249	87	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Consta	nt 2013\$)				
Labor	0	0	0	65	51
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0
NSE	0	5,085	7,046	6,079	4,966
Total	0	5,085	7,046	6,152	5,017
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs											
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013						
Labor	0	0	0	54	44						
Non-Labor	0	0	0	8	0						
NSE	0	4,742	6,797	5,994	4,966						
Total	0	4,742	6,797	6,056	5,010						
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5						

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2009 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2010	0	0	4,742	0.0 1-S	ided Adj	N/A	TP1DEW2014051 5223708347
RNERBA - 20)10 AB32 Fee	es .					3223100341
2010 Total	0	0	4,742	0.0			
2011	0	0	6,797	0.0 1-S	ided Adj	N/A	TP1DEW2014022
RNERBA - 20)11 AB32 Fee	es					6220314430
2011 Total	0	0	6,797	0.0			
2012	0	0	5,994	0.0 1-S	ided Adj	N/A	TP1DEW2014022
RNERBA - 20)12 AB32 Fe	es					6220539217
2012	54	8	0	0.6 1-S	ided Adi	N/A	TP1DEW2014051
				0.0			5200317113
2012 Labor a	nd Transmiss	ion NL Co	sts				
2012 Total	54	8	5,994	0.6			

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)
Category-Sub: 1. New Environmental Reg Balancing Acct (NERBA)

Workpaper: 2EV000.001 - RNERBA - AB32 Fees Subpart W MS4 and LDAR

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	NSE	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	RefID
2013 RNERBA -	0 2012 AB 32 F	0 ees	4,966	0.0 1-9	Sided Adj	N/A	TP1DEW2014022 6220836850
2013 RNERBA -	44 2013 SubPart	0 W for Env	0 Svcs cost		Sided Adj 00-2176	N/A	TP1DEW2014022 6221324407
2013 Total	44	0	4,966	0.5			

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2EV000.001

GRC 2016 NERBA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Methane Emissions Leak Detection & Repair (LDAR) Impact Program

Issue Title:		NERBA ¹ Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Methane Emissions Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) Impact Program										
Affected Plan Category & Cost Centers:	(O&N	1), and Gas	ervices (O&M Storage (O&I	M).								
Issue Description and Scope:	gover gas pi as a h reduce to adv	ty to safety, ning the op- peline facil azard to be e emissions vance the sta	71 ² (Leno) is reliability, an eration, maintaities that are in mitigated pursof natural gas ate's goals in a Warming So	d afforda enance, re ntrastate t suant to the from the reducing of	bility epair, ransm ne Nat se fac emissi	of service and replaci ission and tural Gas cilities to to ons of great	e, to a ceme d dist Pipel the m	dopt runt of the ribution ine Saf	iles nose n lin ety 2 m ex	and p comr les to Act o	rocedures mission-re minimize f 2011 an feasible ir	egulated e leaks d to n order
Estimated Cost Impacts	000K)	204.4		2045			2045					
	Туре					2014	2015 \$ 85			\$	2016 838	
		⊕O&M ⊕Capital			\$		\$		- 00	\$	- 030	,
	Grand Total				\$	_	Ś		85	Ś	838	
	Note:		egulatory deve	elopment		cipated fo		1371.				
Timing of Change:	and A	B32. The fi	ed regulatory mancial impac ected in 2017.	et of SB13	371 is	expected	to be	gin 20	16, a	and po	otential cl	hanges
Justification/ Reason for Change:	Califo	ornia leak na	states that it is atural gas. The and regulators t	e incidenc	e of n	atural gas	leak	s and t	heir	repai	r is consi	dered
Cost Estimate & Methodology :	20% v methor	was then use odology dev tions and ac	proposed a 20 ed as a reasona eloped herein tual costs necessary reduction.	able assur permits f	nptio lexibi	n for initia lity to adj	al cos ust ta	st estim arget m	ates etha	. Cos	t estimate nissions	es and

New Environmental Regulatory Balancing Account (NERBA)
 California Legislative Information, Senate Bill 1371 - Natural gas: leakage abatement (September 21, 2014), http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill id=201320140SB1371.

GRC 2016 NERBA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Methane Emissions Leak Detection & Repair (LDAR) Impact Program

Total Expected Costs per Ye	ear (\$000K)						
			GR	C P	eriod Fore	cast	
Organization	↓ † Type	▼ Cost Categor	2014 🔽		2015		2016
Environmental Services	Capital	Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Environmental Services	Capital	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Environmental Services	O&M	Labor	\$ -	\$	85	\$	85
Environmental Services	O&M	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Storage	Capital	Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Storage	Capital	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Storage	O&M	Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Storage	O&M	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	213
Gas Transmission	Capital	Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Transmission	Capital	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-
Gas Transmission	O&M	Labor	\$ -	\$	-		
Gas Transmission	O&M	Non-Labor	\$ -	\$	-	\$	540
Total			\$ -	\$	85	\$	838

Cost estimates for each operations group used best available data and a 20% emissions reduction scenario.

1. Storage and Transmission calculations were based on actual cost proposals to perform complete Equipment Component Inventory accounting, GHG Leak Monitoring applied to applicable facilities. Estimates also include the cost of identifying and repairing an additional 20 percent of leaking pipes and engine equipment above and beyond business as usual in line with anticipated regulatory changes.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Summary of Shared Services Workpapers:

Description

A. Environmental Programs

B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Total

	In 2013 \$ (000) Incurred Costs									
Adjusted- Recorded	Adjusted-Forecast									
2013	2014	2015	2016							
2,580	2,726	3,192	3,140							
283	328	328	328							
2,863	3,054	3,520	3,468							

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000

Summary for Category: A. Environmental Programs

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs								
	Adjusted-Recorded	Adjusted-Recorded Adjusted-Forecast							
	2013	2014	2015	2016					
Labor	1,498	1,587	1,783	1,783					
Non-Labor	1,082	1,139	1,409	1,357					
NSE	0	0	0	0					
Total	2,580	2,726	3,192	3,140					
FTE	16.9	17.8	19.8	19.8					

Cost Centers belonging to this Category:

2200-2176.000 SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

1,498	1,587	1,783	1,783
1,082	1,139	1,409	1,357
0	0	0	0
2,580	2,726	3,192	3,140
16.9	17.8	19.8	19.8
	1,082 0 2,580	1,082 1,139 0 0 2,580 2,726	1,082 1,139 1,409 0 0 0 2,580 2,726 3,192

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Activity Description:

The Environmental Programs group includes subject matter experts in air and water quality, biological resources, cultural resources, land planning and hazardous materials and waste. The group supports ongoing environmental compliance, including obtaining environmental permits and approvals, developing environmental plans and conducting specialized environmental training. The group also screens proposed facility, field and real property projects and transactions that have the potential for environmental impacts. This environmental screening involves a thorough review and analysis of potential environmental impacts, permits and compliance requirements for all environmental disciplines, as appropriate for the project scope. In 2013, the group assumed the development and management for the content of all environmental compliance procedures.

Forecast Explanations:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

NSE - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Summary of Results:

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs									
		Adju	sted-Recor	Ad	justed-Fore	cast				
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
Labor	1,555	1,335	1,346	1,409	1,498	1,587	1,783	1,783		
Non-Labor	386	697	508	851	1,082	1,139	1,409	1,357		
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	1,942	2,032	1,854	2,260	2,580	2,726	3,192	3,140		
FTE	18.3	15.8	16.2	15.9	16.9	17.8	19.8	19.8		

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Cost Center Allocations (Incurred Costs):

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
Total Incurred
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreq

	2013 Adju	sted-Reco	rded	2014 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Labor Non-Labor NSE Total FTE		Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE			
57	-6	0	51	0.53	57	-6	0	51	0.53	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
1,440	1,088	0	2,528	16.33	1,529	1,145	0	2,674	17.23	
1,497	1,082	0	2,579	16.86	1,586	1,139	0	2,725	17.76	
98.35%	98.35%				98.35%	98.35%				
1.65%	1.65%				1.65%	1.65%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
Total Incurred
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg

	2015 Adju	sted-Fore	cast	2016 Adjusted-Forecast						
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	
57	-6	0	51	0.53	57	-6	0	51	0.53	
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00	
1,725	1,415	0	3,140	19.23	1,725	1,363	0	3,088	19.23	
1,782	1,409	0	3,191	19.76	1,782	1,357	0	3,139	19.76	
98.35%	98.35%				98.35%	98.35%				
1.65%	1.65%				1.65%	1.65%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%				

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2013

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2014

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2015

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2016

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Forecast Summary:

In 2013 \$(000) Incurred Costs										
Forecast Method		Base Forecast			Forecast Adjustments			Adjusted-Forecast		
Years		2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
Labor	Base YR Rec	1,498	1,498	1,498	89	285	285	1,587	1,783	1,783
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	1,082	1,082	1,082	57	327	275	1,139	1,409	1,357
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tota	I	2,580	2,580	2,580	146	612	560	2,726	3,192	3,140
FTE	Base YR Rec	16.9	16.9	16.9	0.9	2.9	2.9	17.8	19.8	19.8

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type
2014	0	54	0	54	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Discharge Permits for Natural Gas Pipeline Projects: SCG in partnership with other California utilities will share costs to develop SWRCB permits to address water discharges that occur on natural gas facilities during construction, operation and maintenance. Natural gas-related discharge permit(s) would streamline SCG's permitting process, reduce delays and establish uniform compliance requirements. Costs are to fund third party consultants to develop statewide, utility-wide programmatic discharge permit(s) for construction and maintenance work. See Supplemental Workpapers.

2014 0 3 0 3 0.0 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Annual Permit Fees: California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) has a fee schedule for the initial (i.e., application) and annual fees for the permits and water quality certifications that are issued by the SWRCB and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCBs). These fees are reviewed on an annual basis. A revised fee schedule is normally adopted each September, effective from the previous July 1 to the following June 30. Fee incremental increases are based on historic fee increases.

2014 89 0 0 89 0.9 1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2176 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the environmental compliance efforts.

2014 Total	89	57	0	146	0.9	
2015	196	0	0	196	2.0	1-Sided Adj

ENVIRONMENTAL Area: Witness: Jill Tracy A. Environmental Programs Category: 1. Environmental Programs Category-Sub: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS Cost Center: Year/Expl. Labor NLbr **NSE** Total FTE Adj Type CC 2200-2176 Justification - Environmental Services Water Quality Staff: Two (2) FTE positions to support the State and Regional Water Resources Control Board increasing stringent permit requirements. 2015 0 54 0 54 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Discharge Permits for Natural Gas Pipeline Projects: SCG in partnership with other California utilities will share costs to develop SWRCB permits to address water discharges that occur on natural gas facilities during construction, operation and maintenance. Natural gas-related discharge permit(s) would streamline SCG's permitting process, reduce delays and establish uniform compliance requirements. Costs are to fund third party consultants to develop statewide, utility-wide programmatic discharge permit(s) for construction and maintenance work. See Supplemental Workpapers. 2015 0 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Annual Permit Fees: California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) has a fee schedule for the initial (i.e., application) and annual fees for the permits and water quality certifications that are issued by the SWRCB and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards (RWQCBs). These fees are reviewed on an annual basis. A revised fee schedule is normally adopted each September, effective from the previous July 1 to the following June 30. Fee incremental increases are based on historic fee increases. 2015 122 0 O 122 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification Greenhouse Gas (GHG) and Environmental Sustainability Management Tool Project: O&M cost associated with the implementation of GHG and Environmental Sustainability Management Tool Project (# 14862 WP 786C). 2015 0 147 147 0.0 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification For Linear Utilities Projects: SCG in partnership with other California utilities will share costs to develop SWRCB Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) to address impacts to waterbodies that occur on linear utility facilities during construction, operation and maintenance. A programmatic Section 401 WQC would streamline SCG's permitting process, reduce delays and establish uniform compliance requirements. Costs are to fund third party consultants to develop statewide, utility-wide programmatic WQC for construction and maintenance work. See Supplemental Workpapers. 2015 89 89 0.9 1-Sided Adj CC 2200-2176 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the environmental compliance efforts.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

t Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS							
	Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type
	2015 Total	285	327	0	612	2.9	
	2016	196	0	0	196	2.0	1-Sided Adj
	CC 2200-2	2176 Justification - the State and Reg	Environme	ntal Services	Water Quality	y Staff: Tv	wo (2) FTE positions
	2016	0	6	0	6	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	Fees: Calif initial (i.e., issued by t are review effective fr		Resources nnual fees f e Regional asis. A revi	Control Boar or the permit Water Quali sed fee sche	rd (SWRCB) h s and water q ty Control Boa dule is norma	nas a fee luality cer ards (RW) Ily adopte	schedule for the tifications that are QCBs). These fees ed each September,
	2016	0	122	0	122	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	Manageme	2176 Justification ent Tool Project: O ental Sustainability	&M cost as:	sociated with	the impleme	ntation of	GHG and
	2016	0	147	0	147	0.0	1-Sided Adj
	Section 40 other Calife Certificatio during con streamline requiremen	2176 Justification - 1 Water Quality Ce ornia utilities will s in (WQC) to addres struction, operation SCG's permitting p ints. Costs are to fu atic WQC for const	ertification F hare costs to ss impacts to and maint process, rec and third pa	or Linear Ut to develop S to waterbodie enance. A p duce delays rty consultar	ilities Projects SWRCB Sections that occur of programmatic sand establish that to develop	: SCG in on 401 Won linear u Section 4 uniform of statewide	ater Quality utility facilities 01 WQC would compliance e, utility-wide
	2016	89	0	0	89	0.9	1-Sided Adj
		2176 Justification - cremental labor cos					

Note: Totals may include rounding differences.

285

275

2016 Total

560

2.9

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

retermination of Aujusteu-N	2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
Recorded (Nominal \$)*					
Labor	1,083	1,059	1,105	1,188	1,285
Non-Labor	350	649	486	832	1,082
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,433	1,709	1,592	2,020	2,366
FTE	14.0	13.4	13.8	13.6	14.4
djustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	118	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	1	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	120	0	0	0	0
FTE	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Recorded-Adjusted (Nominal	\$)				
Labor	1,201	1,059	1,105	1,188	1,285
Non-Labor	352	649	486	832	1,082
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,553	1,709	1,592	2,020	2,366
FTE	15.4	13.4	13.8	13.6	14.4
'acation & Sick (Nominal \$)					
Labor	214	185	184	190	213
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	214	185	184	190	213
FTE	2.9	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.4
scalation to 2013\$					
Labor	140	91	57	31	0
Non-Labor	35	47	22	19	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	175	138	79	49	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Constant	t 2013\$)				
Labor	1,555	1,335	1,346	1,409	1,498
Non-Labor	386	697	508	851	1,082
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1,942	2,032	1,854	2,260	2,580
FTE	18.3	15.8	16.2	15.9	16.8

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: A. Environmental Programs
Category-Sub: 1. Environmental Programs

Cost Center: 2200-2176.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs									
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013				
Labor	118	0	0	0	0				
Non-Labor	1	0	0	0	0				
NSE	0	0	0	0	0				
Total	120	0	0	0	0				
FTE	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u>	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2009	118	1	0	1.4 CC	TR Transf	From 2100-0206.000	MHARPER20131
							113100546697

In early 2011 three employees in cost center 2100-0206 were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The FTE calculation is based on hours-time in position at SDGE, the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees. The non-labor for the three employees is spread one-third to each employee for each year and cost center.

2009 Total	118	1	0	1.4
2010 Total	0	0	0	0.0
2011 Total	0	0	0	0.0
2012 Total	0	0	0	0.0
2013 Total	0	0	0	0.0

Supplemental Workpapers for Workpaper 2200-2176.000

November 20, 2013

Mr. Fredrik Jacobsen San Diego Gas & Electric Company 8315 Century Park Court (CP-21E) San Diego, California 92123

SUBJECT: COST ESTIMATE FOR PROGRAMMATIC PERMITTING

Dear Mr. Jacobsen:

In response to your request, Mikhail Ogawa Engineering (MOE) is pleased to submit pro-forma cost estimates for developing two programmatic permits with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). Based on our understanding, the two permits would serve to permit activities conducted by utility companies in a general permitting manner.

Cost estimates have been developed for the two permit approaches and are identified as follows:

- 1) Statewide Programmatic NPDES Permit (Non-CEQA)
- 2) Statewide Programmatic Permit for Activities Requiring CEQA

The cost estimates are provided as an attachment and include assumptions made for developing staff hours required. Rates for staff hours are variable and pre-calculated into the "Line Item Cost".

If you have any questions, please call me at (619) 994-7074.

Respectfully submitted,

Mikhail Ogawa Principal

c. File

ATTACHMENT: Pro-Forma Cost Estimates for General Programmatic Permits

Pro-Forma Cost Estimates for General Programmatic Permits

1) Statewide General Permit for Utilities: NPDES PERMIT (Non-CEQA)

This description and budget estimate is for budgeting purposes only – a more detailed analysis and scoping discussion would be necessary to develop a project level cost estimate.

This approach assumes that the permitting effort would provide coverage for activities by any utility company within the State of California.

In general, the programmatic permit would cover a spectrum of activities, with clear limits on allowable activities, for which the permit would provide coverage. It is anticipated that projects would obtain coverage under the permit by: (1) providing a description of the project and the applicable activities; (2) ensuring that the activities are within the allowable activities as described in the permit; (3) implement required mitigating practices as described in the permit; and (4) prepare reporting and tracking as required by the permit.

The general approach to the permit development would include:

- (1) coordination with all stakeholders (utilities)
- (2) identification of acceptable permit formatting
- (3) develop initial findings for SWRCB staff
- (4) general provisions and standards for the permit
- (5) identification of criteria for coverage under the permit
- (6) identification of qualifying activities to be covered under permit
- (7) identification of standard conditions and mitigating practices
- (8) coordination with SWRCB staff
- (9) develop a pre-public draft to circulate and socialize with SWRCB staff and any other identified key stakeholders
- (10) meet with SWRCB staff and address comments
- (11) develop a public draft of the permit for release and public comment
- (12) meet with SWRCB and address comments
- (13) prepare final draft permit for SWRCB adoption
- (14) preparation of Board hearing materials

Costs for the general scope of services identified above are found in the table below. These costs reflect the additional coordination, consideration and complexity of incorporating multiple utility companies and their specific interests, activities, concerns and practices.

Non-CEQA (NPDES)	Staff Hours	Line Item Cost
CEQA analysis: N/A		
Confirmation of SWRCB NPDES permit standard formats, language and provisions	24	\$ 2,424.00
Identification of applicable standards and conditions	48	\$ 5,736.00
Develop pre-public draft permit	616	\$ 68,984.00
Prepare pre-public draft permit		
Meetings with SWRCB staff to review pre-public draft permit (Assume up to 4)		
meetings)		
 Review of applicant comments on pre-public draft permit 		
Develop public draft permit	392	\$ 44,400.00
 Prepare public draft permit 		
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review public draft permit (Assume up to 4 		
meetings)		
 Review of applicant comments on public draft permit 		
Final draft for Board action	424	\$ 47,336.00
 Review of applicant comments on public final draft permit 		
Prepare final draft permit		
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review final draft (Assume up to 4 meetings) 		
Preparation of response to comments document		
Preparation of Board hearing materials (support to SWRCB staff)	68	\$ 7,836.00
Attend a workshop	16	\$ 2,208.00
Attend adoption hearing	16	\$ 2,208.00
Assumed Travel Costs		\$ 4,800.00
Total Budget	1,604	\$ 185,932.00

Southern California Gas Company 2016 GRC - APP

Shared Services Workpapers

2) Statewide General Permit for Utilities: PERMIT FOR ACTIVITIES REQUIRING CEQA PROCESS

This description and budget estimate is for budgeting purposes only – a more detailed analysis and scoping discussion would be necessary to develop a project level cost estimate.

This approach assumes that the permitting effort would provide coverage for activities by any utility company within the State of California.

In general, the programmatic permit would cover a spectrum of activities, with clear limits on allowable activities, for which the permit would provide coverage. These projects would require CEQA process, e.g., activities within waters of the U.S. It is anticipated that projects would obtain coverage under the permit by: (1) providing a description of the project and the applicable activities; (2) ensuring that the activities are within the allowable activities, including coverage by programmatic CEQA document, as described in the permit; (3) implement required mitigating practices as described in the permit; and (4) prepare reporting and tracking as required by the permit.

The general approach to the permit development would include:

- (1) conduct CEQA analysis and process
 - a. compilation, review and analysis of proposed permit activities
 - b. development of CEQA document (assumed Programmatic EIR)
- (2) identification of acceptable permit formatting
- (3) develop initial findings for SWRCB staff
- (4) general provisions and standards for the permit
- (5) identification of criteria for coverage under the permit
- (6) identification of qualifying activities to be covered under permit
- (7) identification of standard conditions and mitigating practices
- (8) coordination with SWRCB staff
- (9) develop a pre-public draft to circulate and socialize with SWRCB staff and any other identified key stakeholders
- (10) meet with SWRCB staff and address comments
- (11) develop a public draft of the permit for release and public comment
- (12) meet with SWRCB and address comments
- (13) prepare final draft permit for SWRCB adoption
- (14) preparation of Board hearing materials

Costs for the general scope of services identified above are attached. These costs reflect the additional coordination, consideration and complexity of incorporating multiple utility companies and their specific interests, activities, concerns and practices.

CEQA (WDR, 401 Certification)	Staff Hours	Line Item Cost	
 CEQA analysis: Compilation, review and analysis of proposed permit activities and development of CEQA document 		\$ 1,000,000.00	
Confirmation of SWRCB NPDES permit standard formats, language and provisions	60	\$ 6,060.00	
Identification of applicable standards and conditions	120	\$ 14,340.00	
Develop pre-public draft permit	1540	\$ -	
 Prepare pre-public draft permit 		\$ 122,920.00	
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review pre-public draft permit 		\$ 16,560.00	
 Review of applicant comments on pre-public draft permit 		\$ 32,980.00	
Develop public draft permit	1080	\$ -	
 Prepare public draft permit 		\$ 61,460.00	(
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review public draft permit 		\$ 16,560.0	N
 Review of applicant comments on public draft permit 		\$ 32,980.00	
Final draft for Board action	1060	\$	01
 Review of applicant comments on public final draft permit 		\$ 30,560.0€.	6 G
 Prepare final draft permit 		\$ 40,660.0	RC
 Meetings with SWRCB staff to review final draft 		\$ 16,560.0€	- AP
 Preparation of response to comments document 		\$ 30,560.0	
Preparation of Board hearing materials (support to SWRCB staff)	170	\$ 19,590.0	סי
Attend a workshop	40	\$ 5,520.00	
Attend adoption hearing	40	\$ 5,520.00	`
Assumed Travel Costs		\$ 4,800.00	
Total Budget	4,110	\$ 1,468,670.00	

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000

Summary for Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

	In 2013\$ (000) Incurred Costs									
	Adjusted-Recorded		Adjusted-Forecast							
	2013	2014	2015	2016						
Labor	238	283	283	283						
Non-Labor	46	46	46	46						
NSE	0	0	0	0						
Total	284	329	329	329						
FTE	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1						

Cost Centers belonging to this Category:

2200-2012.000 SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Labor	238	283	283	283
Non-Labor	46	46	46	46
NSE	0	0	0	0
Total	284	329	329	329
FTE	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1

Beginning of Workpaper 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Activity Description:

The SCG cost center is designed to capture the cost of administrative activities provided by the Environmental Services Director.

Forecast Explanations:

Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Non-Labor - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

NSE - Base YR Rec

The forecast method developed for this cost category is base year forecasting. This method is most appropriate because it identifies specific environmental regulatory changes and their related costs impacting the company during the GRC period that may not be represented using an average or trending forecast model.

Summary of Results:

				n 2013\$ (00	0) Incurred (Costs		
		Adju	sted-Recor	Adjusted-Forecast				
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Labor	58	58	256	317	238	283	283	283
Non-Labor	3	2	31	53	46	46	46	46
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	61	60	287	370	283	328	328	328
FTE	0.9	0.9	2.2	3.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Cost Center Allocations (Incurred Costs):

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
Total Incurred
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreq

	2013 Adju	sted-Reco	rded	2014 Adjusted-Forecast					
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.01
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
237	46	0	283	2.13	282	46	0	328	2.13
237	46	0	283	2.13	282	46	0	328	2.14
98.12%	98.12%				98.12%	98.12%			
1.88%	1.88%				1.88%	1.88%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			

Directly Retained
Directly Allocated
Subj. To % Alloc.
Total Incurred
% Allocation
Retained
SEU
CORP
Unreg

	2015 Adju	sted-Fore	cast			2016 Adjı	ısted-Fore	cast	
Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE	Labor	Non-Labor	NSE	Total	FTE
0	0	0	0	0.01	0	0	0	0	0.01
0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.00
282	46	0	328	2.13	282	46	0	328	2.13
282	46	0	328	2.14	282	46	0	328	2.14
98.12%	98.12%				98.12%	98.12%			
1.88%	1.88%				1.88%	1.88%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			
0.00%	0.00%				0.00%	0.00%			

Cost Center Allocation Percentage Drivers/Methodology:

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2013

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2014

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2015

Cost Center Allocation Percentage for 2016

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Forecast Summary:

	In 2013 \$(000) Incurred Costs									
Forecas	t Method	Base Forecast			Forec	ast Adjust	Adjustments Adjusted-Forecast			ast
Years	s	2014	2015	015 2016 2014 2015 2016 2014 2015		2016				
Labor	Base YR Rec	238	238	238	45	45	45	283	283	283
Non-Labor	Base YR Rec	46	46	46	0	0	0	46	46	46
NSE	Base YR Rec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		283	283	283	45	45	45	328	328	328
FTE	Base YR Rec	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.1	2.1

Forecast Adjustment Details:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>Total</u>	FTE	Adj Type
2014	45	0	0	45	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2112 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the Director's activities.

2014 Total	45	0	0	45	0.0	
22.45			•	4-		4.004.4.4.15
2015	45	0	0	45	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2112 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the Director's activities.

2015 Total	45	0	0	45	0.0	
2016	45	0	0	45	0.0	1-Sided Adj

CC 2200-2112 Justification - Labor adjustment to annualize existing labor costs as well as capture incremental labor costs required to support the Director's activities.

al 45 0 0 45 0.0

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Determination of Adjusted-Recorded (Incurred Costs):

	-Recorded (Incurred Cos 2009 (\$000)	2010 (\$000)	2011 (\$000)	2012 (\$000)	2013 (\$000)
ecorded (Nominal \$)*	, ,				
Labor	0	0	207	267	220
Non-Labor	0	0	29	52	46
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	236	319	266
FTE	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.7	2.0
ljustments (Nominal \$) **					
Labor	46	47	3	0	-17
Non-Labor	3	2	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	49	49	3	0	-17
FTE	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.0	-0.2
ecorded-Adjusted (Nomina	al \$)				
Labor	46	47	210	267	204
Non-Labor	3	2	29	52	46
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	49	49	240	319	250
FTE	0.8	0.8	1.9	2.7	1.8
acation & Sick (Nominal \$)				
Labor	7	7	35	43	34
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7	7	35	43	34
FTE	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.3
scalation to 2013\$					
Labor	5	4	11	7	0
Non-Labor	0	0	1	1	0
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	6	4	12	8	0
FTE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ecorded-Adjusted (Consta	ant 2013\$)				
Labor	58	58	256	317	238
Non-Labor	3	2	31	53	46
NSE	0	0	0	0	0
Total	61	60	287	370	283
FTE	0.9	0.9	2.2	3.2	2.1

^{*} After company-wide exclusions of Non-GRC costs

^{**} Refer to "Detail of Adjustments to Recorded" page for line item adjustments

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Summary of Adjustments to Recorded:

In Nominal \$ (000) Incurred Costs						
Years	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	
Labor	46	47	3	0	-17	
Non-Labor	3	2	0.153	0	0	
NSE	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	49	49	3	0	-17	
FTE	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.0	-0.2	

Detail of Adjustments to Recorded:

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	<u>FTE</u> <u>Adj</u>	Type From CCtr	RefID
2009	46	3	0	0.8 CCTR Tra	nsf From 2100-3035.000	MHARPER20131
						114120754237

In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.

2009 Total	46	2	0 08

2010 47 2 0 0.8 CCTR Transf From 2100-3035.000 MHARPER20131 114121253900

In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.

2010 Total 47 2 0 0.8

2011 3 0.153 0 0.1 CCTR Transf From 2100-3035.000 MHARPER20131 114121659840

In early 2011 six employees in cost center 2100-3035 Site Assessment supporting SCG were formally transferred from SDGE to SCG. The table displays the recorded SDGE O&M costs for labor and the associated non-labor used in performance of the SCG activities. The non-labor is calculated based upon the ratio of total hours worked by the transferring group of employees.

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

-17

Witness: Jill Tracy

2013 Total

Category: B. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management Category-Sub: 1. Policy, Oversight & Compliance Management

Cost Center: 2200-2012.000 - SCG ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE DIRECTOR

Year/Expl.	<u>Labor</u>	<u>NLbr</u>	<u>NSE</u>	FTE	Adj Type	From CCtr	<u>RefID</u>
2011 Total	3	0.153	0	0.1			
2012 Total	0	0	0	0.0			
2013	-17	0	0	-0.2	CCTR Transf	From 2200-0331.000	RMCHRIST20140 423122926577
-				_		s from cost center vork paper group	120122020011

-0.2

Area: ENVIRONMENTAL

Witness: Jill Tracy

Appendix A: List of Non-Shared Cost Centers

Cost Center	Sub	<u>Description</u>
2200-0733	000	SCG HAZMAT OPERATIONS
2200-1181	000	SCG HAZARDOUS WASTE BALANCING ACCOUNT
2200-2013	000	SCG ENVIRONMENTAL FEES
2200-2197	000	VP ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY & FACILITY
2200-2370	000	SCG SITE ASSESSMENT & MITIGATION
2200-2403	000	SCG ENVIRONMENTAL UST COMPLIANCE
2200-2440	000	SCG ENVIRONMENTAL MAJOR PROJECTS
2200-2443	000	SCG ENVIRONMENTAL STRATEGY & SUSA
2200-2475	000	FIELD ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES